1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 BEE YANG, 11 Petitioner, No. CIV S-05-0417 DFL DAD P 12 VS. 13 CATHY MENDOZA-POWERS, Respondent. 14 **ORDER** 15 16 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of 17 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States 18 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262. 19 On February 13, 2006, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations 20 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any 21 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fifteen days. Neither 22 party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 23 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be 24 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY 25 ORDERED that: ///// 26

1	1. The findings and recommendations filed February 13, 2006, are adopted in
2	full;
3	2. Respondent's June 2, 2005 motion to dismiss the petition as unexhausted is
4	denied; and
5	3. Respondent is ordered to file her answer within thirty days from the date of
6	service of this order.
7	DATED: 3/29/2006
8	
9	(Dav.) 7. (FÚ.
10	DAVID F. LEVI
11	United States District Judge
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	